Controllability of Diffusively Coupled Multi-Agent Systems

Ming Cao

University of Groningen The Netherlands

Joint work with Shuo Zhang and Kanat Camlibel

Outline

- Diffusively coupled multi-agent system and its controllability
- Graph partitions
- Controllability of systems with general graphs and distance regular graphs
 - Single-leader case
 - Multi-leader case
- Leader selection

Controllability of multi-agent systems

• Assigning the roles of leaders and followers

Diffusively coupled multi-agent systems

The state of each agent is a scalar: x_i

Agents' dynamics are determined by diffusive couplings

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i} (x_j(t) - x_i(t))$$

Overall dynamics

set of neighbors: time-invariant, symmetric

$$\dot{x}(t) = -Lx(t)$$

Fixed undirected neighbor relationship graphs $\mathbf{G} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$



Leaders vs. followers

Choose *m* leaders: $\mathcal{V}_l = \{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_m\} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$

apply one control input at each leader $u = [u_1 \dots u_m]^T$

Define
$$M = \mathbf{R}^{n \times m}$$
 where $M_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = l_j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Overall dynamics

$$\dot{x}(t) = -Lx(t) + Mu$$

Example



Leaders vs. followers

Choose *m* leaders: $\mathcal{V}_l = \{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_m\} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$

apply one control input at each leader $u = [u_1 \dots u_m]^T$

Define
$$M = \mathbf{R}^{n \times m}$$
 where $M_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = l_j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Overall dynamics

$$\dot{x}(t) = -Lx(t) + Mu$$

Example

$$\begin{array}{c} & & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 3 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 3 \end{array} \right] x + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} u$$

Leaders vs. followers

Choose *m* leaders: $\mathcal{V}_l = \{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_m\} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$

apply one control input at each leader $\ \ u = [u_1 \dots u_m]^T$

Define
$$M = \mathbf{R}^{n \times m}$$
 where $M_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = l_j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Overall dynamics

$$\dot{x}(t) = -Lx(t) + Mu$$

Controllability \iff Graph topology

cell : collection of vertices $\ {\cal C}$

partition : collection of *mutually disjoint* cells $\pi = \{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k\}$

discrete partition : a partition of singleton cells

equitable partition : a partition $\pi = \{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k\}$ such that each node in C_i has the same number of neighbors in C_j





cell : collection of vertices $\ {\cal C}$

partition : collection of *mutually disjoint* cells $\pi = \{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k\}$

discrete partition : a partition of singleton cells

equitable partition : a partition $\pi = \{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k\}$ such that each node in C_i has the same number of neighbors in C_j





cell : collection of vertices C

partition : collection of mutually disjoint cells $\pi = \{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k\}$

discrete partition : a partition of singleton cells

equitable partition : a partition $\pi = \{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k\}$ such that each node in C_i has the same number of neighbors in C_j

almost equitable partition : a partition $\pi = \{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k\}$ such that each node in C_i has the same number of neighbors in C_j

for all $i \neq j, \ i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$





cell : collection of vertices C

partition : collection of mutually disjoint cells $\pi = \{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k\}$

discrete partition : a partition of singleton cells

equitable partition : a partition $\pi = \{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k\}$ such that each node in C_i has the same number of neighbors in C_j

almost equitable partition : a partition $\pi = \{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k\}$ such that each node in C_i has the same number of neighbors in C_j for all $i \neq j, i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$





cell : collection of vertices C

partition : collection of mutually disjoint cells $\pi = \{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k\}$

discrete partition : a partition of singleton cells

equitable partition : a partition $\pi = \{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k\}$ such that each node in C_i has the same number of neighbors in C_j

almost equitable partition : a partition $\pi = \{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k\}$ such that each node in C_i has the same number of neighbors in C_j for all $i \neq j, \ i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$

(*almost*) *equitable partition relative to v*: if it is a (almost) equitable partition and {*v*} is its cell

distance partition relative to v : if its cells consist of $\{u \in \mathcal{V} | \text{dist}(u, v) = i\}$

Pitfalls

Some elegant conclusions can be drawn using combinatorial characteristics

eg. necessary condition for controllability using graph symmetry

A. Rahmani, M. Ji, M. Mesbahi, and M. Egerstedt. Controllability of multi-agent systems from a graph theoretic perspective. *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, 48:162-186, 2009

Sometimes the difficulty in such characterization is overlooked

- eg. wrong sufficient conditions using almost equitable partitions
- S. Martini, M. Egerstedt, and A. Bicchi. Controllability of multi-agent systems using relaxed equitable partitions. *International Journal of Systems, Control, and Communications*, 2:100-121, 2010

Lattice of partitions

- $\boldsymbol{\Pi}\,$: the set of all partitions for a given graph
- $\pi_1 \leq \pi_2 \iff ext{ every cell of } \pi_1 ext{ is a subset of a cell of } \pi_2$
- e.g. $\{\{1,2\},\{3\},\{4\},\{5\}\} \le \{\{1,2,3\},\{4,5\}\}$
- $\pi_1 \leq \pi_2 \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{card}(\pi_1) \geq \operatorname{card}(\pi_2)$

 Π is a complete lattice, namely every subset of Π has an infimum and supremum within Π

Notation: for $\Pi'\subseteq\Pi$, $\inf(\Pi')$ and $\sup(\Pi')$

Characteristic Matrix

Let $\pi = \{\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2, \dots, \mathcal{C}_k\}$ be a partition.

Characteristic matrix $P(\pi)$ of π

$$p_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j \in \mathcal{C}_i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



$$\pi = \{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4, 5\}, \{6\}\}$$
$$P(\pi) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Facts

 $\begin{aligned} \Pi_{EP} &\subseteq & \Pi_{AEP} \\ & \cup & \cup \\ \Pi_{EP}(v) &\subseteq & \Pi_{AEP}(v) \end{aligned}$

 $\pi \leq \pi_D(v)$ for all $v \in \mathcal{V}$ and for all $\pi \in \Pi_{AEP}(v)$

- π is an EP $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{im} P(\pi)$ is A-invariant
- π is an AEP \Leftrightarrow im $P(\pi)$ is *L*-invariant

$$imP(\pi_1) \cap imP(\pi_2) = imP(\sup\{\pi_1, \pi_2\})$$

$$imP(\pi_1) + imP(\pi_2) = imP(\inf\{\pi_1, \pi_2\})$$

Use the following to denote the maximals of the corresponding partitions $\pi^*_{EP}, \pi^*_{EP}(v), \pi^*_{AEP}, \pi^*_{AEP(v)}$

Examples



Examples



Distance partition $\pi_D(1)$

Examples



Equitable partition with respect to 1

Controllability Analysis

$$\dot{x} = -Lx + Mu$$

 \mathcal{K} : the controllable subspace

Fact : \mathcal{K} is the smallest L-invariant subspace that contains $\operatorname{im} M$

$$\mathcal{K} = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}_l} \mathcal{K}(v)$$

 $\operatorname{card}(\pi_D(v)) \leq \operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{K}(v))$ $\mathcal{K}(v) \subseteq \operatorname{im}P(\pi_{AEP}(v))$

$$\operatorname{card}(\pi_D(v)) \le \dim(\mathcal{K}(v)) \le \dim\left(\operatorname{imP}(\pi_{AEP}^*)(v)\right)$$

5 = 5 < 6



 $\operatorname{card}(\pi_D(v)) \leq \operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{K}(v))$ $\mathcal{K}(v) = \operatorname{im}P(\pi^*_{AEP}(v))$





 $\begin{aligned} \operatorname{card}(\pi_D(v)) &\leq \dim(\mathcal{K}(v)) \\ \mathcal{K}(v) &= \operatorname{im} P(\pi^*_{AEP}(v)) \end{aligned}$ $\operatorname{card}(\pi_D(v)) \leq \dim(\mathcal{K}(v)) \leq \dim\left(\operatorname{im} P(\pi^*_{AEP})(v)\right) \\ 5 = 5 < 6 \qquad \qquad 3 < 5 < 6 \qquad \qquad 3 < 6 = 6 \end{aligned}$



 $\operatorname{card}(\pi_D(v)) \leq \operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{K}(v))$ $\mathcal{K}(v) = \operatorname{im}P(\pi^*_{AEP}(v))$

$$\operatorname{card}(\pi_D(v)) \le \dim(\mathcal{K}(v)) \le \dim\left(\operatorname{imP}(\pi_{AEP}^*)(v)\right)$$

 $5 = 5 < 6$ $3 < 5 < 6$ $3 < 6 = 6$



Distance-regular graphs

$$\operatorname{diam}(\mathbf{G}) = \max\{\operatorname{dist}(u, v) | u, v \in \mathcal{V}\}$$

 ${f G}$ is distance-regular if

for any vertices *u* and *v* and integers $i, j = 0, 1, ..., diam(\mathbf{G})$, the number of vertices at distance *i* from *u* and distance *j* from *v* depends only on *i*, *j* and the distance between *u* and *v*, independently of the choice of *u* and *v*.







i = 1 and j = 2

Under distance regularity

General graph $\Pi_{EP} \subseteq \Pi_{AEP}$ $\pi_D \geq \pi_{AEP}$ $\dim(\mathcal{K}(v)) \ge \operatorname{card}(\pi_D(v))$ $\mathcal{K}(v) \subseteq \operatorname{im} P(\pi_{AEP}^*(v))$

Distance regular graph $\Pi_{EP} = \Pi_{AEP}$ $\pi_D(v) = \pi^*_{AEP}(v)$ for all $v \in \mathcal{V}$ $\dim((\mathcal{K}(v)) = \operatorname{diam}(\mathbf{G}) + 1$ $\mathcal{K}(v) = \mathrm{im} P(\pi^*_{AEP}(v))$ If controllable, then $\ dm \geq n-1$

Leader selection

1. Take $w \in \mathcal{V}$

- 2. Let $\pi_D(w) = \{\{w\}, C_1, C_2, \dots, C_d\}$
- 3. Take $w_i \in \mathcal{C}_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, d$
- 4. Let $\mathcal{V}_f = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_d\}$

5. Define $\mathcal{V}_l = \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{V}_f$

$$\mathcal{K} = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}_l} \mathcal{K}(v) = \mathbf{R}^n$$

Quick look at the proof

$$\mathcal{K} = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}_l} \mathcal{K}(v)$$
$$= \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}_l} \operatorname{im} P(\pi_{AEP}^*(v))$$
$$= \operatorname{im} P\left(\inf_{v \in \mathcal{V}_l} \pi_{AEP}^*(v) \right)$$

 $\inf_{v \in \mathcal{V}_l} \pi^*_{AEP}(v) \text{ is discrete!}$

How many leaders needed?

1. Take $w \in \mathcal{V}$

- 2. Let $\pi_D(w) = \{\{w\}, C_1, C_2, \dots, C_d\}$
- 3. Take $w_i C_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, d$
- 4. Let $\mathcal{V}_f = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_d\}$

5. Define $\mathcal{V}_l = \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{V}_f$

$$\operatorname{card} \mathcal{V}_l = n - \operatorname{diam}(\mathbf{G})$$

Complete and cycle graphs



diam = 1, at least n - 1 leaders needed

diam =
$$\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$$
, at least 2 leaders needed

Concluding Remarks

- Controllability problem for multi-agent systems can be studied using graphs
- Tight bounds for controllability subspaces for systems with general graphs and distance regular graphs
- Future work
 - Better bounds on the least number of leaders for controllability
 - More complicated dynamics
 - Time-dependent graph topology

